



Promoting Successful Transitions to Careers for Young Adults: Impacts on School to Work Sequences

David Fein
Matt Zeidenberg
Yuhan Jao

Abt Associates Inc.



Auspices

- Work in progress aimed at describing trajectories of young adult participants in RCTs of promising career entry programs
- A sub-study of PACE—a wider evaluation of nine programs sponsored by the federal Administration for Children and Families, Office for Planning, Research, and Evaluation

Our Problem

- Leading sectoral training programs seek to generate “career entry with momentum”
- Rigorous evaluations find that such programs can generate substantial increases in average earnings
- But we do not understand the effects on school-work pathways underlying these impacts
- Key questions:
 - *How do programs affect the paths young adults take? What school-work sequences are typical in the treatment and control groups? Do steps tend to be short or long, few or many, static or upward?*
 - *Are impacts on “growth pathways” widely shared or concentrated in a relatively small subset of program participants?*
- One challenge has been translating steps in different occupations into uniform schema useful in comparing career progress across dissimilar careers and contexts









This Presentation

- Describes an approach to analyzing impacts on sequences of comparable school and work statuses
 - With possible statuses varying in time intensity and wage level
 - An application of Sequence Analysis—methods originating in genetics (DNA sequences), spreading to business (purchases) and social science (occupation, family formation, school-work, migration)
- Provides an illustrative application for one program
 - Year Up, a national program, offers a full year of full-time occupational training and supports, including 6-month internship, in IT and other high-demand fields
 - Produced large positive impacts on average earnings during the first three follow-up years
- Identifies extensions in a forthcoming working paper

The Data


- 2,544 young adults with high school credentials in nine cities, randomly assigned to treatment and control groups (2:1) in 2013-14
- Follow-up survey (71% response, n=1,815) obtains retrospective measures on every school and work spell over three years post-random assignment, including
 - Beginning and ending dates
 - School spells: full-time, part-time, or a mix
 - Work spells: hours and wages at beginning and ending of spell
- Use to construct primary status in each of months 0-36 (see next slide)
- Analysis includes all survey respondents—addressing missing data through imputation and (for a small number of sample members) assigned status of “missing”

Possible School-Work Statuses in the Analysis


-  Full-time school (FTS)
-  Full-time work, <\$15/hour (FTW1)
-  Full-time work, \$15-19/hour (FTW2)
-  Full-time work, \$20-24/hour (FTW3)
-  Full-time work, \$25+/hour (FTW4)
-  Part-time school and/or work (PTSW)
-  Disconnected (neither school/work; DISC)
-  Status missing (missing hours or \$; MISS)

Percent in Various School-Work Statuses in Successive Follow-up Months

Treatment Group



Control Group



Months Since Random Assignment

Considering the Individual-Level Sequences Underlying these Distributions...

- The steps individuals take over the 36 months vary widely
- As evident in a sample of individual sequences (each row shows monthly statuses for a different person):



- Goal: Filter out small differences, measurement noise to identify clusters of individuals with similar sequence patterns


Analysis Approach

- Step 1: compute summary “distance” measure for every possible pair of sequences in the sample
 - Changes are substitutions, insertions, and deletions of statuses, each with a defined cost
 - Distance is the cost of the minimum total cost of the changes needed to transform one sequence into the other in each pair
 - Creates an $n \times n$ distance matrix (n =sample size)
- Step 2: find clusters of similar sequences in this matrix
 - Use Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM) to find best 2, 4, 6, and 8-cluster solutions
 - Analyze clustering for the full sample and separately for treatment and control groups
- Software (R): TraMineR, WeightedCluster

Presenting Findings


- Start with summary graphs for each cluster of sequences
 - Visual aids help us to recognize sequence patterns
 - E.g., “status distribution” over time; plots of individual sequences
- Then, assess statistical relationships between cluster membership and hypothesized correlates
- We found 8 salient clusters in the pooled sample (treatment and control)
- Before looking at status distributions for the 8 clusters, helpful to see how the status distribution for a cluster summarizes its underlying individual sequences...

The School-Work Status Distribution (top) Summarizes Individual Sequences (bottom) Assigned to a Cluster



Months Since Random Assignment

Status Distributions for 4 “Good” Sequence Clusters (8-Cluster Solution for the Pooled Sample)




Cluster	% of all Ts	% of all Cs
FTS-FTW2	21	7
FTS-FTW3	10	1
FTS-FTW4	8	1
FTS-FTS	10	14




(Continued)

Status Distributions for 4 “Not So Good” Sequence Clusters (8-Cluster Solution for the Pooled Sample)




Cluster	% of all Ts	% of all Cs
FTS-FTW1	15	26
FTS-PTSW	13	3
PTSW-PTSW	2	28
FTS-DISC	21	19
All Clusters	100	100




Implications of Cluster Membership

Statistical Relationships between the 8
Sequence Clusters and Illustrative
Characteristics

Average Quarterly Earnings by School-Work Cluster




Percent of Treatment Group Members Placed in Internships Leading to Jobs



Among Female Sample Members, Likelihood of a Birth/Current Pregnancy

% w/Birth or
Currently Pregnant



To Summarize

- Analysis identifies 8 informative clusters of school-work sequences
- Good trajectories were most prevalent in the treatment group
 - And within the treatment group they are fairly concentrated
 - Particularly among young adults whose internships led to IT jobs
- Not so good trajectories – e.g., chronic disconnection, part-time employment – remain prevalent in both groups
- Though most clusters center on ≤ 1 transition, multiple steps are not uncommon... (however, relatively few returns to school)
- Other analyses for paper show some Ts combined part-time school with full-time career-track jobs—but not many

Implications

For RCTs of workforce programs

- Helpful in understanding trajectories underlying impacts on aggregate outcomes
- Applicable to occupation/career ladders, family formation
- Moderately strenuous data requirements

For programs and policy

- Sectoral programs need to work on broadening their benefits
 - Highly selective in targeting applicants (well-known)
 - Current findings suggest impacts also are concentrated
- And increase supports for subsequent career steps after initial ones

Forthcoming in the Rest of the Paper...

- Separate cluster analyses for Ts and Cs
- Comparison of sequences in Year Up with VIDA, a longer-term college support program
- Analyses highlighting role of part-time school
- Sensitivity of results to alternative
 - Measures of distance between sequences
 - Clustering approaches
 - Data sources (administrative records)

For More Information Contact

David Fein, PACE Principal Investigator, Abt Associates, David_Fein@Abtassoc.com

Larry Buron, PACE Project Director, Abt Associates, Larry_Buron@Abtassoc.com

Nicole Constance, PACE Project Officer, Office for Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, Nicole.Constance@acf.hhs.gov

Amelia Popham, PACE Co-Project Officer, Office for Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, Amelia.Popham@acf.hhs.gov



The *Pathways for Advancing Careers and Education (PACE) Study* is supported by the Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation (OPRE), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) (Contract #: HHSP23320072913YC). Supplemental funding for PACE from the Open Society Foundations also has helped to support the Year Up study.

The contents of this presentation do not necessarily represent the official views or policies of OPRE, ACF, HHS, or foundation sponsors.